	Case 2:24-cv-02395-DC-DMC Documen	t 12 Filed 02/14/25 Page 1 of 2					
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	MIKE MORGAN,	No. 2:24-cv-02395-DC-DMC (PC)					
12	Plaintiff,						
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING					
14	J. HALL,	THIS ACTION					
15	Defendant.	(Doc. No. 9)					
16							
17	Plaintiff Mike Morgan is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action						
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge						
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.						
20	On October 18, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations						
21	recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice because it is duplicative of <i>Morgan</i>						
22	v. Espinoza, et al., No. 2:23-cv-01955-DAD-DMC. (Doc. No. 9.) The pending findings and						
23	recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto						
24	were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (<i>Id.</i> at 2.)						
25	On November 18, 2024, Plaintiff filed a motion for an extension of time to file objections						
26	to the pending findings and recommendations. (Doc. No. 10.) On November 26, 2024, the						
27	magistrate judge granted Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time, providing the parties with an						
28	additional 30 days to file objections to the pending findings and recommendations. (Doc. No. 11.)						
		1					

	Case 2:24-cv	v-02395-DC-DMC	Document 12	Filed 02/14/25	Page 2 of 2	
1	To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in					
2	which to do so has now passed.					
3	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a					
4	de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the					
5	pending findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.					
6	Accordingly:					
7	1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 18, 2024 (Doc. No. 9) are					
8	ADOPTED in full;					
9	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff's ability to pursue his clair					
10	in Morgan v. Espinoza, et al., No. 2:23-cv-01955-DAD-DMC (E.D. Cal.); and					
11	3.	The Clerk of the C	court is directed to	close this case.		
12						
13	ATT 10					
14	ITIS	SO ORDERED.		T0	000	
15	Dated: Fo	ebruary 13, 2025	_	Dena Coggin	1000	
16					s District Judge	
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						